

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report
For CDRU # 5911 Case file # 95-238901.

Material Examiner: Malone (RO)

Remarks:

Case resulted in a guilty plea.

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by:

David W. Hall

Area(s) of Expertise:

Forensic Botany

Review commenced at:

11:30 AM (Time), 22 Sept. 04 (Date)

File #:

95-238901

Laboratory #(s):

8008 25071 S VJ RR

Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed		Reviewed	Not Reviewed
<u>Malone (RR)</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of:

None

Testimony Date(s):

Pages:

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number:

8008 25071 S VJ RR

Date:

12 Sept. 1980

Laboratory Number:

Date:

Laboratory Number:

Date:

Examiner Bench Notes of:

Malone (RR)

Laboratory Number:

8008 25071 S VJ RR

Page

1

of

3

Initials:

DWH

CRM - 15010

Was any other material reviewed? ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: _____

Results of Review

File #: 95-238901 Item or Specimen # Reviewed: _____

Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

☒ Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials: PMH

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #:

95-238901

1) No mention of Techniques, equipment, resources.
How many pieces, fragments, etc per sample (what was in each
sample/package). How packaged? Were other professionals
consulted or recommended? For example - ^{even a tiny}
fragment can often benefit from examination by a plant and forensic
scientist.

2) Should note limits of expertise & recommend further steps,
if needed. Bench notes do reflect the lab report summary.

Review completed at:

1:15 PM (Time),

22 Sept. 2004

(Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour):

0.75 (lunch break of 1 hr.)

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review
are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 12 pages.

David W. Hall

(Signature)

22 Sept. 2004

(Date)

Page

3

of

3

Initials:

DWH